	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/328,484	FUNAKOSHI, HIDEAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Reuben M. Brown	2611
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Reuben M. Brown.	(3)	
(2) <u>Vincent Sunderdick</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: July 9th 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 7.		
Identification of prior art discussed: None.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N	√ /A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the scope of the sub-channel and outputting packet ID of the corresponding detected.</u>	he claims. Particularly discus:	sed the claimed holding of the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT (Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse si	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THI OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	/ been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY
•		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required